UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,430	08/22/2003	Brandon Stuart Burroughs	UTL00329	9170
	7590 10/30/200 [RELESS CORP.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 9282		PIZIALI, JEFFREY J		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92192-8289			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/646,430	BURROUGHS, BRANDON STUART			
omec Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeff Piziali	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Au This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 12 is/are withdom 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,10,11 and 13-20 are subject to Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examined 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to the content of the conten	rawn from consideration. o restriction and/or election requir r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	Examiner. e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/646,430 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11 August 2008 has been entered.

Drawings

2. The drawings have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the figures.

Specification

3. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,430 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

Election/Restrictions

4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10, 11, and 13-15, drawn to a mobile phone, classified in class 341, subclass 20 (e.g., products providing bodily actuated code generation).

II. Claims 16-20, drawn to a method of using a mobile phone, classified in class 345, subclass 184 (e.g., methods of controlling displays via mechanical control means).

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

5. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h).

Art Unit: 2629

Page 4

(1) In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed (the method of claims 16-20) can be practiced with another materially different product (than the mobile phone of claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10, 11, and 13-15).

For example, the process as claimed (the method of claims 16-20) can be practiced with another materially different product (than the mobile phone of claims 1, 2, and 4-8) not including at least:

"the mobile phone comprising: an alphanumeric keypad, the alphanumeric keypad including a left set of one or more rows of alphanumeric input keys and a right set of one or more rows of alphanumeric input keys separated by a centerline, the left set of one or more rows of-alphanumeric input keys including a top row with a right-most key, the right set of one or more rows of alphanumeric input keys including a top row with a left-most key, and the right-most key of the top row of the left set of one or more rows of alphanumeric input keys being immediately adjacent to the left-most key of the top row of the right set of one or more rows of alphanumeric input keys arranged in one or more respective arcs having one or more respective arc centers located to the left of the centerline, and the right set of one or more respective arc centers located to the right of the centerline, and a numeric keypad...," as claimed in independent claim 1 (lines 7-24).

For additional example, the process as claimed (the method of claims 16-20) can be practiced with another materially different product (than the mobile phone of claims 10, 11, and 13-15) not including at least:

"the mobile phone comprising: an alphanumeric keypad, the alphanumeric keypad including a left set of one or more rows of alphanumeric input keys including a left-most alphanumeric input key and a right most alphanumeric input key and a right set of one or more rows of alphanumeric input keys including a left-most alphanumeric, input key and a right most alphanumeric input key separated by a centerline, the left set of one or more rows are opposite the right set of one or more rows, and lines drawn through the left-most alphanumeric input key and the right most alphanumeric input key of opposite rows intersect the centerline, immediately adjacent the right-most alphanumeric input key of the left set of one or more rows of alphanumeric input keys and the left-most alphanumeric input key of the right set of one or more rows of alphanumeric input keys, to form a V shape with a vertex intersecting the centerline; and a numeric keypad...," as claimed in independent claim 10 (lines 5-26).

(2) In the instant case, the product as claimed (in claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10, 11, and 13-15) can be used in a materially different process of using that product (than the method of claims 16-20).

For example, the product as claimed (in claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10, 11, and 13-15) can be used in a materially different process of using that product (than the method of claims 16-20) without at least:

Page 6

Art Unit: 2629

"a method of using a mobile phone, the mobile phone including an upper phone member with a display, a lower phone member with a keyboard, the method comprising: providing an alphanumeric keypad, a numeric keypad and the display for a mobile phone," as claimed in independent claim 16 (lines 1-7); and

"inputting a first set of information into the mobile phone using the left set of one or more rows of input keys; inputting a second set of information into the mobile phone using the right set of one or more rows of alphanumeric input keys," as claimed in independent claim 16 (lines 27-30).

- 6. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
 - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;

Art Unit: 2629

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found

Art Unit: 2629

allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Page 8

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-7678. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chanh Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7772. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeff Piziali/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629

22 October 2008